

**RESOLUTION  
CITY OF SAINT PAUL, MINNESOTA**

Presented by Debbie Montgomery

*Resolution Amending the 2005 Saint Paul Budget to Address Citizen Participation Formula Allocation*

- 1 WHEREAS, the City Council conducted a policy session April 21, 2004, to consider various ways to strengthen the  
2 citizen participation process in Saint Paul, and
- 3 WHEREAS, the City Council directed Council Research staff to prepare a follow-up report on the policy session  
4 including an outline of a process to establish a 2004 Ad Hoc Committee on Citizen Participation, which would  
5 represent all of the recognized district councils and include representatives from the Planning Commission and the  
6 Business Review Council; and
- 7 WHEREAS, the City Council asked the 2004 Ad Hoc Committee on Citizen Participation to develop specific  
8 recommendations in response to the testimony before the City Council at the policy session on April 21, 2004; and
- 9 WHEREAS, the testimony at the policy session identified a concern that the 2004 funding formula was inadequate  
10 and inequitable; and
- 11 WHEREAS, the Ad Hoc Committee on Citizen Participation met weekly in a spirit of cooperation for a period of  
12 eight weeks and addressed all four areas of concern and formulated specific recommendations for the City Council's  
13 consideration; and
- 14 WHEREAS, the City Council supported the recommendations from the 2004 Ad Hoc Committee on Citizen  
15 Participation, dated July 13, 2004; and
- 16 WHEREAS, those recommendations included new District Council funding allocations; and
- 17 WHEREAS, this funding allocation was included in Saint Paul's 2005 adopted budget; be it
- 18 RESOLVED, that page 15, of the Dollar List, (attached) of the adopted 2005 City budget be amended so that the  
19 current language on line 59 is crossed out and replaced with:
- 20 *Implement new funding formula recommended by the Ad Hoc Committee- Apply recommended amounts*  
21 *to all Districts including \$42,927.57 for District 13 to be allocated among the three groups using the same*  
22 *process for allocation as they did in previous years. See attached Spreadsheet labeled D-3.*

	Yeas	Nays	Absent
Benav		✓	
Bostrom	✓		
Harris	✓		
Helgen		✓	
Lantry		✓	
Montgomery	✓		
Thune	✓		
	4	3	0

Requested by Department of: Hatfield O.F.S.

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Form Approved by City Attorney

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Adopted by Council: Date February 9, 2005

# Option D-2 at 12-15-04

Formula based on Population, Poverty, Non-English Speaking Residents and Jobs  
with a Minimum Allocation of \$37,000 Per District

Shows District 13 Broken Out For All Three Segments

FUNDING: 75% POPULATION, 15% POVERTY, 5% Non-English Speaking, and 5% JOBS

DISTRICT	Pop. %	103589.7	Allocation	34529.9	Jobs %	34529.9	5% Jobs	Proposed Allocation	Current Allocation	Change	37K min	Final Allocation
1	0.07	\$36,256.40	\$5,749.23	0.0433	0.0225	\$1,496.14	\$776.92	\$44,277.68	\$38,592.00	\$5,685.69	\$5,685.69	\$44,277.69
2	0.092	\$47,651.26	\$9,426.66	0.112	0.0118	\$3,867.35	\$407.45	\$61,352.73	\$42,308.00	\$19,044.73	\$19,044.73	\$61,352.73
3	0.056	\$29,005.12	\$6,132.51	0.1118	0.056	\$3,880.44	\$2,002.73	\$41,000.80	\$38,503.00	\$2,497.80	\$2,497.80	\$41,000.80
4	0.062	\$32,112.81	\$8,276.82	0.101	0.046	\$3,467.52	\$1,588.38	\$45,465.52	\$38,695.00	\$6,770.52	\$6,770.52	\$45,465.52
5	0.11	\$56,974.34	\$14,440.40	0.161	0.034	\$5,559.31	\$1,174.02	\$78,148.07	\$44,892.00	\$33,256.07	\$33,256.07	\$78,148.07
6	0.066	\$44,543.57	\$12,534.35	0.087	0.0385	\$3,004.10	\$1,329.40	\$61,411.43	\$43,132.00	\$18,279.43	\$18,279.43	\$61,411.43
7	0.06	\$31,076.91	\$12,678.88	0.148	0.0444	\$5,110.43	\$1,533.13	\$50,399.84	\$44,418.00	\$5,981.84	\$5,981.84	\$50,399.84
8	0.063	\$32,630.76	\$8,401.12	0.0548	0.026	\$1,892.24	\$697.78	\$43,821.90	\$44,592.00	(\$770.10)	(\$770.10)	\$43,821.90
9	0.036	\$18,646.15	\$2,641.54	0.009	0.0716	\$310.77	\$2,472.34	\$24,070.79	\$37,212.00	(\$13,141.21)	\$12,929.21	\$37,000.00
10	0.043	\$22,271.79	\$2,237.54	0.0122	0.04	\$421.26	\$1,361.20	\$26,311.78	\$35,823.00	(\$9,511.22)	\$10,888.22	\$37,000.00
11	0.041	\$21,235.89	\$3,356.31	0.0108	0.0536	\$372.92	\$1,850.80	\$28,815.92	\$36,513.00	(\$9,697.08)	\$10,184.08	\$37,000.00
12	0.021	\$10,876.92	\$1,806.05	0.005	0.089	\$172.65	\$3,073.16	\$16,028.78	\$36,413.00	(\$20,384.22)	\$20,971.22	\$37,000.00
13 LH	0.0132	\$6,636.92	\$3,035.18	0.0214	0.021	\$736.94	\$725.13	\$11,336.17	\$12,491.00	(\$1,154.83)	\$1,154.83	\$11,336.17
13 SH	0.0084	\$3,314.87	\$486.87	0.0032	0.015	\$110.50	\$517.95	\$4,430.19	\$12,115.00	(\$7,684.81)	\$7,684.81	\$4,430.19
13 MP	0.0459	\$23,773.84	\$2,237.54	0.0192	0.034	\$662.97	\$1,174.02	\$27,161.21	\$14,477.00	\$12,684.21	\$12,684.21	\$27,161.21
14	0.069	\$35,738.45	\$2,661.90	0.0104	0.017	\$359.11	\$687.01	\$39,336.46	\$38,666.00	\$670.46	\$670.46	\$39,336.46
15	0.081	\$41,963.83	\$3,926.05	0.072	0.0556	\$2,486.15	\$1,926.77	\$50,292.80	\$40,114.00	\$10,178.80	\$10,178.80	\$50,292.80
16	0.024	\$12,430.76	\$649.44	0.0019	0.0159	\$65.61	\$549.03	\$13,894.83	\$33,537.00	(\$19,642.17)	\$23,105.17	\$37,000.00
17	0.021	\$10,876.92	\$2,755.49	0.016	0.3055	\$652.48	\$10,548.88	\$24,733.77	\$36,065.00	(\$11,331.23)	\$12,286.23	\$37,000.00
Totals					0.9996			\$690,290.67	\$688,647.00	\$1,643.67	\$1,643.67	\$780,434.80

**Explanation Notes:**

Population Allocation: 75% Allocated based on population \$517,949

Diversity Allocation: 5% Allocated on the percent of non-English speaking residents \$34,529.90

Additional Funds Needed To Provide 37K Minimum Base Funding

Additional Funds distributed by the formula

Total additional funds to the system

Poverty Allocation: 15% Allocated on the percent of city wide poverty in each district \$103,688.70

Jobs Allocation: 5% Allocated on the percent of Employees \$34,526.90

\$80,144.12

\$21,443.67

\$111,587.80