

MEETING NOTES

CITY OF SAINT PAUL

MEETING DATE: July 28, 2016

LOCATION: Hancock Recreation Center, 1610 Hubbard Ave Saint Paul, MN 55104 ATTENDING: Anita Friedmeyer, Cindy Huber, Dan Marshall, Jackie Lanin, Lauren Nelson, Jessica Gulbranson, Peter Gulbranson, Mike Spende, Karin Misiewicz, Alice Messer, Cheeneng (Chee) Yang

NOT IN ATTENDANCE: Amy Raddatz, Antonio Rodriguez, Brittany Williamson, Christina Jenson, Cindy Caverzagie, Claire Fromme, Dan DaCosta, Emily Spende, Graham Lynham, Jessica DaCosta, Kristin Lynham

NOTES BY: Chee Yang, July 28, 2016

DISCUSSION TOPICS:

Chee Yang welcomed the design advisory committee. Refer to page 2 of Presentation Materials.

- Outlined the presentation's agenda & project schedule
 - o Design process to conclude mid-September with Open House
 - o Construction documents to begin fall/winter 2016.
 - Advertise the project after the New Year's hoping for construction to begin in May 2017.

Chee reviewed and recapped meeting #1 concepts and feedback received. Refer to pages 3-9 of Presentation Materials.

- Project Overview
 - o Secured \$281,000 from the Capital Improvement Bond (CIB) fund for play area and site improvements at May Park. About \$220,000 is allocated towards construction and play equipment.
 - o Presented 4 conceptual ideas at Mtg #1. Below is a recap of concepts:
 - <u>Idea A</u> Keep existing play container and have a looped path to define open space.
 - <u>Idea B</u> The oak trees act as a gateway into park and play spaces are separated into different spaces to encourage movement.
 - <u>Idea C</u> A centralized play space and gathering space with the open spaces to the north and south of the park.
 - <u>Idea D</u> Have multiple play spaces throughout the park acting as an obstacle course.

- Key Comments received from Mtg #1 include:
 - Play Space for Children Even though Newell Park has a play area, the community would still like a play space at May Park where it is quiet and provide people to away from Newell when it is busy.
 - Keep Open Space A lot of people want the open space to remain as a flexible space.
 - o Protect Oak Trees Iconic to the park.
 - o <u>Add Picnic Tables and Benches</u> Many parents/adults want to have a place to sit, to rest, and to watch their children while they play.
 - o <u>Keep Merry-Go-Round</u> The number one comment. The community has a strong desire to keep the Merry-Go-Round because the children enjoy playing with it.
 - We can keep the Merry-Go-Round! For now. Merry-go-round is in good condition, but if the equipment should break or become unsafe to use, it will be taken down since replacement parts are no longer available.
 - o <u>Flexible Play Equipment</u> Having play equipment with multiple use such as climbing, spinning, and balancing.

Alice Messer presented the two concepts. Refer to pages 10-11 of Presentation Materials.

Concept A

- O Play space is about the same square footage as existing play space, but reconfigured to protect the roots of the oak trees.
- o Play space is outlined by a 4-5' wide path except to the west.
- o Fences are set in the back of the play space.
- o Play elements include:
 - A sandbox defined by rocks/boulders.
 - Merry-go-round and a traditional monkey bar to reference the burned down Tatum School
 - A whimsical-like structure connected to a slide. Inside is a nettedarrangement for climbing.
 - A swinging play equipment capable of having multiple children on at a time.
 - A rope bridge.
- o The open space is defined by a looped 4-5' wide path with no fence surrounding the path and existing open space promoting movement within park.
- o The park property line is the oval black-dashed line.
- East of the property is a 15' sewer easement. Within this easement we cannot build or plant anything.
- o Include 2-3 picnic tables and benches.

• Concept B

- A 4-5' wide semi-circle path looped around the oak tree dripline to protect the roots of the trees.
- o The play space is pushed back towards the alley.

- o Play elements include:
 - Traditional climbing structure
 - Merry-Go-Round
 - Plan to keep the existing swings. May modify the 6 swing sets to 4 and to include a bucket swing for toddlers and accessible swing.
- o South of the play space are play mounds with tunnels for children to run on and crawl through.
- o A tree grove to create a barrier to define the play space from the open space.
 - Examples shown are birch tree groves which are planted closely allowing children to play and explore within the grove.
- o The existing open space remains with fencing along Chelton and part of Clayland St.
- o Include 2-3 picnic tables and benches.

Alice asked for comments regarding the two concepts.

• Concept A:

- o Many liked the play elements shown in concept A especially the whimsical-slide structure.
- o All are thrilled that the merry-go-round can stay.
- o Like the shape of the play space and the idea that it is away from the oak trees.
- o A concern of the 4-5' wide looped path around the open space.
 - Worried about construction damage the existing open space.
- Want to keep existing space as is with little disturbance and to remove the looped path. Don't feel there is a need for an internal walkway.
- o Would like to have an ornamental fence to outline the open space

• Concept B:

- o Many liked the simplicity of the design and leaving the open space as is.
- o Everyone liked the having the existing open space fenced like how it currently is.
- o A concern of the play mounds having to be artificial turf.
 - Alice recommended checking out the play mounds at West 7th Community Center.
- O A concern of the tree grove being a visual barrier from the play space to the open space. Would like to keep that visual cue open or have a low structure.
- o Showed interest in keeping the existing 10' high swings.
 - Children enjoy it and a place for children to sit next to each other and to interact.
- o Many do not mind having sand as a play surface.
- o Like to see a concept with modified swing that allows many children to swing together. Promotes social interaction versus swinging individually.

• Overall Discussion:

- o Would like to remove the entire looped path in concept A.
- o Want to keep the existing open space fenced.

- O All agreed on play surfacing to be a mix of sand with access points to play equipment to be poured-in-pace (rubber surfacing).
- o Agreed on having a hybrid of both concepts.
 - Keep the north part of concept A combining it with the south part of concept B.
 - In concept A, they many voiced they would like keep the path that outlines the play space to the access point ending at the middle of the park on Clayland St.
- o Many liked the play equipment on concept A including the swings from concept B
 - Do not mind have a 4 swing set instead of 6.
- o Keep the merry-go-round.
- o Explore removing fencing and replacing with ornamental fencing if costs allow.

Alice presented and discussed about the public art. Refer to page 12 in Presentation Materials.

- From meeting #1, many showed interest in having a sculptural or poetry art piece.
- Ideas include having some sort of ornament to have on the fence or have a frame-like medium on the fence for photos/arts.
 - o A lot of the attendees like this idea.
 - o Can have a box frame holding art children's art from the neighborhood.
 - o Have a plaque or monument telling the history of May Park.
- A sign for the park.
- A playful element where children can play with the wooden snails in the ground.
- Many showed interest in the idea of having a low seat wall where people can sit and lean on. It can be placed where the tree groves are in concept B.
- Concrete poems along the path.
 - o Instead of poems it could be mathematics, chemical formulas, or constellations relating to academic referencing Tatum School.

Chee concluded meeting. Next meeting is Open House on Thursday, August 25, 2016 from 5:30 to 7:30 pm. Final concept to be presented based on meeting #2 comments.

Next Meeting: Thursday, August 25, 2016 from 5:30 to 7:30 pm. Open House to be held onsite with back-up location at Newell Park.

Please contact Chee Yang at 651-266-6414 if any items are missing from the meeting notes or items were listed incorrectly.