Betsy Reveal, Chair





CITY OF SAINT PAUL Christopher B. Coleman, Mayor

25 West Fourth Street Saint Paul, MN 55102 *Telephone: 651-266-6700 Facsimile: 651-228-3220* 

#### TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

Monday, May 8, 2017, 4:00 p.m. – 5:30 p.m. All meetings are held in the City Hall Annex 13<sup>th</sup> floor Conference room at 25 West 4<sup>th</sup> Street in Saint Paul

- 1. Ford Site Zoning & Public Realm Plan: transportation elements Merritt Clapp-Smith (PED) 40 minutes
- 2. Comprehensive Plan Transportation Chapter, part I Bill Dermody (PED) 40 minutes

Upcoming Transportation Committee Meetings

- May 22
- June 5

Meetings are open to the public. The Chair may allow five minutes for informal public comment (from noncommittee members) at the beginning of each agenda as needed. Additional time may be allocated for comments or further discussion at the discretion of the Chair. Meetings will be cancelled if there is not a quorum expected, or if there are no agenda items. For additional information on the Transportation Committee of the Planning Commission, please visit our website at <u>bit.ly/StPauITC</u> or contact Bill Dermody at <u>Bill.Dermody@ci.stpaul.mn.us</u> or 651-266-6617.

# Transportation Committee Staff Report

Committee date: May 8, 2017

Project Name	Ford Site
Geographic Scope	135-acre former Ford Plant
Ward(s)	Ward 3
District Council(s)	District 15
Project Description	Overview of transportation elements in the Zoning & Public Realm Plan
Project Webpage	www.stpaul.gov/ford
Project Contact,	Merritt Clapp-Smith 651-266-6547 merritt.clapp-smith@ci.stpaul.mn.us
email/phone	
Lead	Planning & Economic Development
Agency/Department	
Purpose of	Study transportation impacts & opportunities related to the site's
Project/Plan	redevelopment
Planning References	Comprehensive Plan identifies Ford Site as an opportunity site
Project stage	Planning
General Timeline	Property sale in 2017-18; infrastructure development in 2019; see project
	webpage for further details
Level of Committee	Advise and consent
Involvement	
Previous Committee	Reviewed transportation study and public comment on 12/5/16
action	
Level of Public	Involve
Involvement	
Public Hearing	To be scheduled (mid-summer) at Planning Commission; City Council hearing date tbd
Public Hearing	Planning Commission & City Council
Location	
Primary Funding	
Source(s)	
Cost	

#### Level of Committee Involvement

<b>INFORM</b> : Informational briefings	Projects that are in implementation phase; projects from other jurisdictions; policy documents from other agencies/jurisdictions			
<b>ADVISE AND CONSENT</b> : Informational briefings with policy discussion, general directives to staff for follow-through	Project and program reviews primarily initiated by staff; or involvement with program development by others			
<b>INVOLVE</b> : Discussions to develop directions for projects & programs	Policy involvement from inception through design, inc. policy development; environmental documentation,			
<b>DEVELOPMENT OF PROJECT/PROGRAM</b> : Discussion to form process; screening of ideas; development of recommendations; and managing outreach to the community	Committee has primary responsibility for concept development, and/or overseeing participation process, and/or making specific recommendations to Planning Commission, Mayor and/or City Council			

## Transportation Committee Staff Report Committee date: May 8, 2017 (and potentially May 22, June 5, and June 19)

Project Name	Comprehensive Plan update
Geographic Scope	citywide
Ward(s)	all
District Council(s)	all
Project Description	Update/replacement of the existing Comp Plan adopted in 2010
Project Webpage	stpaul.gov/SaintPaul4All
Project Contact, email/phone	Bill Dermody (Transportation Chapter lead) 266-6617 Bill.Dermody@ci.stpaul.mn.us
Lead Agency/Department	City of Saint Paul, Dept. of Planning & Economic Development
Purpose of Project/Plan	Guide City development policy
Planning References	Saint Paul's Comprehensive Plan must be consistent with the Metropolitan Council's regional policy plans, including Thrive 2040 and the Transportation Policy Plan (TPP)
Project stage	Committee review of draft plan document
General Timeline	<ul> <li>2016: Public outreach &amp; issue identification (complete)</li> <li>2017: Draft policies, committee/commission reviews More specifically, the Transportation Chapter is scheduled to receive a Transportation Committee recommendation by 6/19/17 and be distributed to the Comprehensive Planning Committee (who serves as steering committee for all chapters) on 6/27/17 for review at their July meetings; All chapters to be reviewed by November for public release as a package 2018: Public review and formal hearings Public engagement and open houses in early 2018; formal public hearings in Q2; adjacent jurisdiction reviews and submission for Metropolitan Council review in Q3/Q4 </li> </ul>
District Council position (if applicable)	None yet; To be solicited in late 2017/early 2018 for entire Comp Plan package
Level of Committee Involvement	Involve, some development of project/program (though the Comprehensive Planning Committee acts as steering committee)
Previous Committee action	Reviewed and modified a staff-generated list of issues for consideration in the Transportation Chapter on 6/27/16
Level of Public Involvement	Involve
Public Hearing	tbd in early 2018
Public Hearing Location	Planning Commission, City Council
Primary Funding Source(s)	Implementation will be through various sources

Staff recommendation	Approve and forward to Comprehensive Planning Committee
Action item requested of	Recommend (by June 19) draft Transportation Chapter and forward
the Committee	to the CPC for review alongside other chapters
Committee	
recommendation	
Committee vote	

#### Level of Committee Involvement

<b>INFORM</b> : Informational briefings	Projects that are in implementation phase; projects from other jurisdictions; policy documents from other agencies/jurisdictions			
<b>ADVISE AND CONSENT</b> : Informational briefings with policy discussion, general directives to staff for follow-through	Project and program reviews primarily initiated by staff; or involvement with program development by others			
<b>INVOLVE</b> : Discussions to develop directions for projects & programs	Policy involvement from inception through design, inc. policy development; environmental documentation,			
<b>DEVELOPMENT OF PROJECT/PROGRAM</b> : Discussion to form process; screening of ideas; development of recommendations; and managing outreach to the community	Committee has primary responsibility for concept development, and/or overseeing participation process, and/or making specific recommendations to Planning Commission, Mayor and/or City Council			





CITY OF SAINT PAUL Christopher B. Coleman, Mayor

25 West Fourth Street Saint Paul, MN 55102 Telephone: 651-266-6700 Facsimile: 651-228-3220

DATE:	May 1, 2017
TO:	Transportation Committee
FROM:	Lucy Thompson & Bill Dermody, PED staff
RE:	Comprehensive Plan Transportation Chapter

#### BACKGROUND

#### What is the Comprehensive Plan?

The Comprehensive Plan is Saint Paul's "blueprint" for guiding development for the next 20 years. It addresses city-wide physical development, and contains chapters on Land Use, Transportation, Parks and Recreation, Housing, Historic Preservation and Water Resources. While the policy focus is on physical development, the Plan's core values, goals and policies reflect an understanding that how we arrange the physical elements of our city – streets, parks, housing, public infrastructure – impacts and is impacted by the people in our city. The current update of the Comp Plan also has several overarching issues integrated into each chapter, including racial and social equity, aging in community, community/public health, economic development, sustainability/resiliency and access to healthy food. The policies contained in the Comp Plan are based on Saint Paul's core values; history; community priorities; and emerging social, economic and environmental trends.

In Saint Paul, several other plans have been adopted periodically as addenda to the Comp Plan, including the *Central Corridor Development Strategy*, station area plans, master plans, area plans and district plans. These documents are not required by the Metropolitan Council to be updated every 10 years; they are updated as needed at any time.

#### Legal Framework

The state Metropolitan Land Planning Act requires that every municipality and other local government in the seven-county metropolitan region have a Comp Plan, and that it be updated every 10 years consistent with the Metropolitan Council's regional plan and system plans for transportation, parks and water. The guiding regional document for this update of Saint Paul's Comp Plan is *Thrive MSP 2040*. The Metropolitan Council also has a Housing Policy Plan that is not required by statute, but provides guidance to municipalities as they prepare their own Housing chapters. The mandated planning time frame for this update of the Comp Plan is 2040.

*Thrive MSP 2040* is one of the guiding documents the Saint Paul Comp Plan must respond to, in addition to regional growth projections in population, housing units and employment. The following projections have been established for Saint Paul to 2040: an increase of 40,000 residents, 20,000 households and 30,000 jobs. We have to show how we will accomplish this

Transportation Committee memo Comprehensive Plan Transportation Chapter Page 2 of 6

growth, as well as how our local policies will implement regional policies. In its review, the Metropolitan Council also considers our Comp Plan's compatibility with the plans of neighboring municipalities and agencies with jurisdiction over our development (e.g. watershed districts, Ramsey County, MnDOT). Saint Paul is designated as an "Urban Center" in *Thrive MSP 2040*.

#### Planning Commission Role in Review and Adoption

One of the core responsibilities of the Planning Commission is to oversee the preparation of the Comprehensive Plan, and to recommend it to the City Council for adoption. Once the City Council has adopted the Plan, it is sent to the Metropolitan Council for final approval. For three chapters – Transportation, Parks & Recreation and Historic Preservation – a Planning Commission committee or other City commission will review the relevant draft chapter first, and then recommend it to the Planning Commission. A public hearing on the complete draft Comp Plan will be held at the Planning Commission and likely at the City Council prior to adoption. The role of the Planning Commission is to ensure that the chapters of the Comp Plan respond to our regional mandate for growth, maintain a city-wide perspective, are consistent with one another and reflect the city's core values.

#### **2010 TRANSPORTATION CHAPTER**

In the existing Comp Plan adopted in 2010, the Transportation Chapter contained 59 specific strategies organized under four broad policies: (1) Provide a safe and well-maintained system, (2) Provide balance and choice, (3) Support active lifestyles and a healthy environment, and (4) Enhance and connect the city. The strategies were very thorough and addressed issues that are largely still relevant. However, many strategies were more like workplan items than visionary policy, and others were overly broad to be helpful in implementation. Also, with the adoption of the Bicycle Plan in 2015 (as an addendum to the Comprehensive Plan) and the Street Design Manual in 2016, many of the strategies have been essentially incorporated into those documents.

City staff have identified the lack of priorities in the 2010 Comp Plan as a significant issue for effective implementation of the sometimes competing policy guidance, whether in project selection or in designing projects to fit within limited rights-of-way. The sheer number of strategies has also contributed to implementation difficulties.

#### **COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT**

Extensive community engagement – far more than in a typical process – has been undertaken to set the community vision and priorities for the Comp Plan, and to identify issues to address within it. The engagement approach has been to reach as many people as possible; be genuine about the role of engagement; and be representative by race, age and geography. Major engagement kicked off in May 2016 with three broadly-advertised open house events, and continued throughout 2016 into 2017 with attendance at community festivals, pop-up meetings in public locations, district council meetings, City department meetings, policymaker interviews, radio interviews on WEQY (Voice of the East Side) and KMOJ (The People's Station), Open Saint Paul online input, and discussions with experts and advocacy organizations. As of this writing, staff has spoken with over 2,000 people at 62 events, generating over 3,700 comments. At least one event was held and at least 25 people were engaged in each of Saint Paul's 17 planning districts, with an average of three events and 100 people per district. During the big engagement push of May-September 2016, the over 800 people of color engaged represented approximately 50% of the total participants compared to a city-wide population proportion of

Transportation Committee memo Comprehensive Plan Transportation Chapter Page 3 of 6

40% (in 2010). The age of participants was also mostly representative of the city-wide population, if somewhat older.



Nine themes and priorities emerged from the community engagement. In December 2016 and January 2017, staff sent an e-blast of the preliminary themes and priorities, and posted them in 81 strategically-selected "third places" (gathering spaces like coffee shops, libraries, and community centers) to invite feedback. Seventy-one comments were received, mostly confirming the themes and priorities, with the most commonly suggested additions relating to climate change resiliency and adaptation. Responding to this feedback, "sustainability" was added to create a modified final list of nine themes:

- Livability, equity and sustainability. When we asked about regional themes established by the Metropolitan Council, a majority said livability and equity are the most important for Saint Paul. Further public input established sustainability as also being vitally important. Other regional themes to be incorporated into the updated Comp Plan include prosperity and stewardship.
- **Parks and open space.** Parks and open space, from Como Park to Swede Hollow to the Mississippi River to local playgrounds, were consistently identified throughout the city as cherished places that we should preserve and enhance.
- Sense of community. Many people identified social connections, diversity and their neighborhood's character whether "vibrant" or "quiet" as key advantages of living in Saint Paul.
- **Public safety.** People want to be safe and feel safe in their communities, and to have positive relationships with police officers. Strategic investment and thoughtful design can improve public safety. While this issue goes beyond typical development policies covered in the Plan, other ways to meaningfully incorporate this deep concern will be explored.

Transportation Committee memo Comprehensive Plan Transportation Chapter Page 4 of 6

- **Road safety for pedestrians and bicycles.** Pedestrian safety at crossings and improved facilities were frequently identified as issues, as were bicycle facility improvements and safety.
- **Invest in people.** Whether job training or programming at recreation centers (especially for youth), people identified this as an important issue for Saint Paul. Many commented that these investments pay dividends for livability, prosperity and public safety.
- Jobs. People said we need more and better jobs to allow people to provide for their families and lift up the entire community.
- **Quality affordable housing.** People said we need more affordable housing, and that existing housing must be well-maintained.
- Saint Paul is full of opportunity sites. The range of "places with potential" identified was astounding, including major projects like Snelling-Midway (soccer stadium area) and the Ford site, large geographies like "the East Side," "the Green Line" or "the riverfront," commercial corridors like White Bear Avenue or Selby Avenue, and individual sites throughout the city. People said there are gems throughout the city, ready for (re)discovery and investment.

In addition to the overall themes and priorities, staff sorted through the engagement input to identify public priorities specific to transportation:

- pedestrian safety/traffic speed;
- bike and vehicle safety;
- safe and well-maintained infrastructure;
- transportation options (bike, walk, transit) in all parts of the city;
- a desire for complete streets;
- transit station safety; and
- residential street lighting and safety.

Going beyond priorities and themes, every single public comment has been read by multiple staff and considered for use in the Comp Plan or in its implementation. A sortable database was created for additional analysis by topic and geography.

Parallel to broad general community engagement, staff has communicated with experts, advocacy groups and focus groups related to transportation and other Comp Plan issues, including the Saint Paul Bicycle Coalition, Port Authority, business organizations, major railroad companies (BNSF, CP, UP), the City's sewer division, the Mayor's Advisory Committee on Aging and Saint Paul Public Schools. More such outreach is ongoing.

Transportation Committee memo Comprehensive Plan Transportation Chapter Page 5 of 6

#### TRANSPORTATION CHAPTER PROCESS

The draft Transportation Chapter was created over the course of a year, guided by public input, additional expert issue identification by a 12-person interdepartmental staff team and the Transportation Committee, and research/mapping. Over 600 public engagement comments specific to transportation issues were reviewed, sorted and analyzed, both for visionary themes and unique ideas. The staff team and the



Transportation Committee collaborated to identify over 50 issues, and prioritize them for further analysis and potential inclusion as topics to address in the draft policies. Further engagement with advocacy groups and other outside organizations identified additional issues to address.

With a solid understanding of issues and priorities, staff conducted research and mapping exercises to explore unanswered questions and inform policy development. Though some research questions led to dead-ends, much of the research was fruitful. Some 20 written research summaries and 30 maps were produced.

Staff created a chapter draft and four potential organization strategies for internal review. The draft was based principally on public engagement priorities and identified issues, then backfilled with policies from the existing Comp Plan to cover other topics that are still relevant.

The staff team spent several weeks reviewing and debating policies and their organization within the draft chapter. This review included assigning six team members a "lens" through which to analyze the draft and propose revisions (lenses include: racial and social equity, aging in community, community/public health, economic development, sustainability/resiliency and access to healthy food). The resulting team consensus is found in the attached draft chapter. The staff team also participated in selecting key research and maps to include in the document and alongside it.

#### **DRAFT CHAPTER HIGHLIGHTS**

The draft Transportation Chapter takes a new, bold step forward by establishing clear priorities for how we improve our transportation system, both in project selection and design.

Project selection would now prioritize safety and equity benefits, followed by support of quality jobs. Equity benefits entail improving livability for those who live in racially-concentrated areas of poverty. Maintenance is also established as a "first cut" for project selection because regular maintenance is much more cost-effective in the long run than allowing surfaces to deteriorate to the point they require total reconstruction. Regular maintenance, such as sealcoating or mill and overlay projects, allows for a greater number of projects to be accomplished over time. Besides, streets without potholes are safer for all users. For competitive funding processes, project selection will also account for the anticipated ability to obtain funding. Furthermore, these priorities – as well as our land use priorities – will guide our approaches to future technology changes such as automated vehicles, that will have different needs, opportunities and stressors than what is on the road today.

Transportation Committee memo Comprehensive Plan Transportation Chapter Page 6 of 6

Priorities are also established for the *design* of our rights-of-way, with pedestrians and bicyclists placed at the top. Pedestrians are the most vulnerable users of our rights-of-way, and almost everybody is a pedestrian for some portion of each trip. Considering pedestrians first will ensure a safe transportation system that works well for everyone. In many places this will mean expanded, enhanced or separated pedestrian or bicycle facilities, especially at intersections. In industrial areas, these priorities may mean simply keeping pedestrians and bicyclists out of the way of trucks. This set of priorities will guide how the various tools in the City's Street Design Manual are used to design our rights-of-way in any given situation.

The public priorities of safety, well-maintained infrastructure and improved multi-modal options are emphasized throughout the document, as seen in the goals that provide the policy direction and structure for the chapter:

- investment that reflects our priorities;
- safety and accessibility for all users;
- a transportation system that supports employment and access to jobs;
- true transportation choice throughout the city, with a shift from single-occupant vehicles toward other modes;
- sustainable and equitable maintenance models;
- functional and attractive parkways; and
- a system that shapes and responds to technology.

#### **NEXT STEPS**

The Transportation Committee will review and propose revisions to this document until it is ready for forwarding to the Comprehensive Planning Committee. The Comprehensive Planning Committee, which is acting as the steering committee for the Comp Plan update, will review this chapter (and all the chapters) before authorizing staff to release the entire draft plan for public comment in late 2017. Upon revising to respond to public comment at that time, staff will then return to the Comprehensive Planning Committee to request that a formal public hearing be set in front of the Planning Commission in April 2018. The plan document is anticipated to be before the City Council in June 2018 for a public hearing and adoption.

#### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommends that the Transportation Committee forward the draft Transportation Chapter to the Comprehensive Planning Committee for consideration and eventual release (as a Comprehensive Plan package) for public feedback in late 2017.

### Attachments [ONLY #6 & #7 PROVIDED NOW, OTHERS TO BE DISTRIBUTED MAY 8]

- 1. Draft Transportation Chapter
- 2. Recommended sidebars
- 3. Additional background maps
- 4. Research Summary
- 5. Saint Paul Roadway Safety Plan
- 6. Implementation Overview
- 7. Public Works 5-Year Plan

# **Transportation Chapter Implementation Overview**

The following are the main recently used sources for funding improvements to the city's transportation system. The monetary figures are backward-looking averages that may change going forward due to legislative action, changes in tax incomes or other reasons. Also, at the end there is an overview of the current maintenance funding situation.

<u>St. Paul Streets Fund (SPS)</u> (Street Improvement Bonds) **Amount:** Approximately \$12,500,000 per year **Typical projects:** Street reconstruction **Typical #:** 3 to 5 **Notes:** Sometimes includes local residential streets; intended to eventually reconstruct all streets

Minnesota State Aid (MSA) (City Share of State Gas Tax) **Amount:** Approximately \$7,600,000 per year **Typical projects:** Mill & overlay, restriping, road reconstruction, bridge design, bridge reconstruction, lighting, traffic signals, 4-lane to 3-lane conversions **Typical #:** 8 to 12 **Notes:** Dependent on legislature, but the specific funding and design decisions are made locally, need to comply with MnDOT State Aid Design Standards

<u>Ramsey County Mill & Overlay (County Roadway Funds)</u> **Amount:** Approximately \$2,500,000 per year **Typical projects:** Mill & overlay with potential restriping for bikeways, ped ramps **Typical #:** 3 to 8 **Notes:** Only County Designated arterials; funding and design decisions are made by Ramsey County, though they reference our plans and communicate with city staff

<u>Ramsey County Reconstruction Projects (County Roadway Funds and other sources)</u> **Amount:** Varies **Typical projects:** Bridges, roadway reconstruction, traffic signal improvements on County roadways

Typical #: Varies

**Notes:** City funds share of project based on County Participation Policy. City provides input as to which projects are programmed in County 5 year Plan.

<u>City Mill & Overlay (ROW Maintenance Assessment Funds –subject to change in 2017)</u> **Amount:** Approximately \$2,000,000 per year **Typical projects:** Mill & overlay with potential restriping for bikeways, ped ramps **Typical #:** 7 to 9 **Notes:** Primarily arterials

#### **MnDOT Projects**

Amount: Varies

**Typical projects:** Interstates & Trunk Highways (Snelling, 7th, Arcade, etc), bridges over interstates, ramps and sound walls

Typical #: Varies

**Notes:** I-94 is a major current study that could result in a major construction project(s); decisions are made by MnDOT, though they reference our plans and communicate with city staff

#### MnDOT Cooperative Agreement Program

**Amount:** Approximately \$3.1 million for the region each year; Cap of \$710,000 of MnDOT Funding per project submitted.

**Typical projects:** Improvements on Trunk Highways such as Signal Reconstruction, Medians, and other Safety Improvements.

**Typical #:** One of the projects submitted by St. Paul is typically funded each cycle.

**Notes:** Administered by the MnDOT Metro Division. Cities/Counties within region submit proposals. Requires local match

#### Capital Improvement Bonds (CIB)

**Amount:** CIB total was \$12 million a year, with most going to neighborhood projects. In most recent round, approximately \$2,000,000 of that went to City-initiated transportation projects. CIB is currently on hold and the process is under review.

**Typical projects:** Among City-initiated projects, has funded Bike/Ped & Traffic Safety Program and other smaller projects.

#### Typical #: 3 to 8

**Notes:** Used on local streets or to fund non-State/County eligible share of roadway projects on collector/arterial Streets. Funding decisions made by a citizen committee.

#### Federal Transportation Funds Regional Solicitation

**Amount:** Approximately \$200,000,000 for the region every 2 years; ~\$28 million for the 9 St. Paul projects in the most recent round

**Typical projects:** Road reconstructions, new roads, bridges, additional transit service, safe routes to school, bikeways, ped improvements, traffic signal technology, transit technology

Typical #: 13 projects funded in 2017 (9 city applications, 4 by others)

**Notes:** Administered by the Metropolitan Council bi-annually for projects 4 to 5 years out; requires local match that we sometimes have difficulty finding; must apply

Federal Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Federal Funds Regional Solicitation

Amount: Approximately \$18.6 million for the region every 2 years;

Typical projects: Safety Improvement Projects

**Typical #:** One of the projects submitted by St. Paul is typically funded every other cycle.

**Notes:** Administered by the MnDOT Metro Division bi-annually. Is concurrent with Met Council Regional Solicitation; for projects 4 to 5 years out; requires local match that we sometimes have difficulty finding; must apply

#### Transportation Economic Development (TED) Funds

**Amount:** \$2 million to \$10 million per project

Typical projects: Major road projects that would aid freight or other commerce

Typical #: 3 to 5 in the metro (none have been awarded in St. Paul)

**Notes:** Administered by the MN Department of Employment and Economic Development (DEED); must apply

#### Other MnDOT-Statewide Administered Funds

Funds for projects focusing on freight movement, trunk highway safety, Local Road Improvement Program, Bridge Bonds, Safe Routes to School, are available through MnDOT. Competition is statewide. Funding for each program varies year to year and is tied to State Bonding Bill or Federal Transportation Program Funds.

#### Metro Transit Projects

Metro Transit updates its Service Improvement Plan every five years (next update in 2019) with a thorough analysis and ranking of potential regular bus routes suggested for review by their own staff or by cities. It also funds and implements Arterial Bus Rapid Transit (Arterial BRT). It funds most of its transit service through its operating budget, and sometimes seeks outside funds such as through the Regional Solicitation.

#### County/State-Led Transitways

Transitways such as the Green Line are funded by a mix of federal, state and local funds after thorough studies led first by the counties and later by either MnDOT or the Met Council. The total project costs generally range from about \$400 million to \$2 billion in our region. The Riverview, Rush Line and Gateway (Gold Line) transitways are currently under study in St. Paul.

#### Site Plan Review

Site plan review of development projects can result in geographically limited transportation improvements such as sidewalk additions in front of the subject property, new or consolidated curb cuts, and landscaping.

#### Sidewalk Infill Program

Standalone projects undertaken as petitioned by residents and funded by assessing adjacent property owners 100% of cost. There is interest in reducing assessment rate but City Financing Source needs to be found. Infill sidewalks are included in roadway reconstruction projects and portion of cost assessed as part of overall project assessment.

#### **Special Assessment Districts**

Business improvement districts can sometimes fund streetscape improvements. Also, state law authorizes sidewalk improvement districts, though we have never implemented one.

#### Right-of-Way Loan Acquisition Fund (RALF)

Met Council loans that can be used to acquire rights-of-way for future road development.

#### **Emergencies & Special Allocations**

Other city funding sources can become available for special projects or "emergency" transportation projects on a case-by-case basis. For instance, the 8 80 Vitality Fund provided \$27 million for four transportation projects in 2016. Also, special funding is sometimes available through the state, such as via the bonding bill, or via the federal government, such as via TIGER grants or the stimulus bill.

#### **Maintenance Considerations & Funding**

Funding of maintenance of the infrastructure within the public right of way is evolving. In spring 2017, City Council adopted new policy for maintenance of infrastructure elements. This will be further refined as part of 2018 Budget Process. Current Maintenance Policy is as follows:

#### MnDOT Trunk Highways: (Snelling, W 7<sup>th</sup>, E 7<sup>th</sup>, Arcade, etc)

City of St. Paul completes routine maintenance on MnDOT roadways and bridges as defined in the City/MnDOT Routine Maintenance Agreement. This agreement is updated every two years. Agreement specifies the infrastructure elements that City maintains and corresponding service levels. Functions include snow and ice control, street sweeping, pothole patching, routine bridge maintenance, ROW

permitting. Additional maintenance over and above specified service levels is funded by City. In addition, MnDOT does not fund maintenance of some elements such street lighting and traffic signals. Payment to the City for these services for State FY 2017 is \$1,020,732. MnDOT is responsible for majority of costs of extraordinary and major maintenance as per their cost participation policy.

#### Ramsey County Roadways: (Maryland, Dale, Randolph, etc)

City of St. Paul completes routine maintenance on County roadways and bridges as defined in the City/County Routine Maintenance Agreement. The original agreement was developed in early 1990's. Agreement specifies how calculation of payment is completed and is based on County maintenance costs. Agreement specifies the infrastructure elements that City maintains. Functions include snow and ice control, traffic signal maintenance, bridge maintenance, road maintenance. Additional maintenance over and above specified service levels is funded by City. In addition, County does not fund maintenance of some elements such as street lighting. Payment to the City for these services for 2017 is budgeted at \$1,850,000. County is responsible for majority of costs of extraordinary and major maintenance as per their cost participation policy.

#### City of St. Paul Municipal State Aid (MSA) and Local Roadways:

City of St. Paul completes routine maintenance on City roadways and bridges. ROW Maintenance includes snow and ice control, pavement maintenance and sweeping, sidewalk maintenance, street lighting maintenance and boulevard tree maintenance. Up to 2017, majority of these costs were funded by the ROW Maintenance Assessment. Portion of the costs of maintenance on MSA roadways is funded by portion of our annual MSA allotment. Due to lawsuits filed by property owners, city is in process of moving to a system where maintenance will be funded by fees for some services and the general fund for the remainder. Services to be funded by annual fees billed to adjacent property owners are street sweeping and street lighting maintenance. Fees for sealcoating and mill and overlay services will be billed the year the service is provided. Remaining services on MnDOT and County Roadways not funded under above referenced agreements would also be funded by fees/general fund.



# **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS FIVE YEAR CAPITAL PLAN**

2017 PROJECT	S	2018 PROJECTS 20		2019 PROJECT	rs	2020 PROJECTS		2021 PROJECTS	
SPS PROJECTS (Street Improvement Bonds)		SPS PROJECTS (Street Improvement Bonds)		SPS PROJECTS (Street Improvement Bonds)		SPS PROJECTS (Street Improvement Bonds)		SPS PROJECTS (Street Improvement Bonds)	
Idaho/Atlantic Residential	6,000,000	Woodlawn Jefferson Residential -Phase I	4,050,000	Woodlawn/Jefferson Residential -Phase II	6,000,000	Griggs/Scheffer Residential - Phase I	5,600,000	Griggs/Scheffer Residential - Phase II	5,900,000
Wheelock Parkway - Victoria to Danforth	4,750,000	Como Avenue - Commonwealth to Eustis	6,050,000	Wheelock Pkwy - Western to Rice	8,790,000	Edgcumbe Road - St. Paul to Fairview	5,430,000	Summit Avenue - Victoria to Lexington	4,520,000
Jackson Street - 11th to University	4,063,000	Wheelock Parkway - Danforth to Western	5,000,000		-,,	Como Avenue - Eustis to City Limits	2,320,000	Wheelock Pkwy - Edgerton to Arcade	4,100,000
Como Ave - Raymond to Commonwealth	3,470,000	·····	-,,				,- ,	,	,,
(Funded with MSA)	-, -,								
	arryover								
TOTAL SIB	\$14,813,000	TOTAL SIB	\$15,100,000	TOTAL SIB	\$14,790,000	TOTAL SIB	\$13,350,000	TOTAL SIB	\$14,520,000
CIB PROJECTS		CIB PROJECTS		CIB PROJECTS		CIB PROJECTS		CIB PROJECTS	
Kellogg/3rd Street Bridge - Design	1,125,000	Margaret Street Bike Boulevard	320,000	Bicycle, Pedestrian & Traffic Safety	250,000	Jackson Street Bridge (County)	300,000	EB Kellogg Blvd Bridge @ RiverCentre	2,000,000
Lexington - Univ to Lincoln (Removals)	750,000	Bicycle, Pedestrian & Traffic Safety	250,000	Signalized Intersection Safety Improve	125,000	Johnson Parkway - Burns to 7th (Const)	250,000	Payne/Phalen Sidewalk Infill	487,500
Margaret Street Bicycle Boulevard	400,000	Signalized Intersection Safety Improve	125,000	RR Crossing Safety Improvements	10,000	Expo Area - Safe Routes to School	280,125	(Area: Earl, Duluth, Maryland, Case)	
Rice Street - Pennsylvania to Sycamore	54,000	RR Crossing Safety Improvements	10,000	Bridge Enhancements Program	250,000	Washington Tech - Safe Routes to School	459,000	Safe Routes to Schools	125,000
I-35E Bike Trail - Grand to St. Clair	579,000	Bridge Enhancements Program	250,000	Stairway Repair & Replacement Program	125,000	Safe Routes to Schools	125,000	Bicycle, Pedestrian & Traffic Safety	250,000
Greenbrier/Vento Connection - Design	45,000	Stairway Repair & Replacement Program	125,000			Bicycle, Pedestrian & Traffic Safety	250,000	Signalized Intersection Safety Improve	125,000
Maryland @ Edgerton Channelization	50,000					Signalized Intersection Safety Improve	125,000	RR Crossing Safety Improvements	10,000
Signalized Xing - Eastern Heights School	63,000					RR Crossing Safety Improvements	10,000	Bridge Enhancements Program	250,000
Sidney/State/Robert Intersect Redesign	50,000					Bridge Enhancements Program	250,000	Stairway Repair & Replacement Program	125,000
Downtown Sidewalk Tree Preservation	96,000					Stairway Repair & Replacement Program	125,000		
Bike Racks on the East Side	20,000								
Bicycle, Pedestrian & Traffic Safety	235,000								
Signalized Intersection Safety Improve	120,000								
RR Crossing Safety Improvements	10,000								
Bridge Enhancements Program	235,000								
Stairway Repair & Replacement Program	120,000								
TOTAL CIB	\$3,952,000	TOTAL CIB	\$1,080,000	TOTAL CIB	\$760,000	TOTAL CIB	\$2,174,125	TOTAL CIB	\$3,372,500
MSA PROJECTS		MSA PROJECTS		MSA PROJECTS		MSA PROJECTS		MSA PROJECTS	
Summit Avenue Bridge over Ayd Mill	1,025,000	Summit Avenue Bridge over Ayd Mill	1,253,000	Summit Avenue Bridge over Ayd Mill	797,000	Jackson Street Bridge - Penn to Acker	1,000,000	EB Kellogg Blvd Bridge @ RiverCentre	1,369,257
Forest Street Bridge - Design	375,000	Lafayette Bridge - University to Otsego	2,095,000	Lafayette Bridge - University to Otsego	2,236,750	Cleveland Ave - Como to Buford (County)	400,000	Prior - St. Anthony to University	2,400,000
Battle Creek - Upper Afton to Park Ridge	2,350,000	Kellogg/3rd Street Bridge	1,187,000	Johnson Parkway - Burns to 7th (Design)	1,718,750	Tedesco - Lafayette to Payne	1,174,875	Wabasha - Kellogg to 6th St	3,000,000
Rice Street - Pennsylvania to Sycamore	1,928,000	Dale Street Bridge over 194	1,000,000	Cleveland Ave - Buford to Hoyt (County)	300,000	Johnson Parkway - Burns to 7th (Const)	1,375,000	Snelling/Lexington - ITS Traffic Mgmt	1,125,743
Lighting Imp: 6th-Arcade to Johnson Pkwy	656,000	Cleveland Ave - Hoyt to Larp (County)	200,000	Fairview Avenue - Shields to University	1,120,000	Como Avenue Trail - Raymond to Hamline	2,845,125	SPS Traffic Signals on Arterials	600,000
Como Avenue Lighting Improvements	81,000	High Bridge Redeck - Lights/Rails	800,000	Maryland @ Edgerton Channelization	500,000	Robert Street M&O Mn/DOT -Traff. Signals	1,100,000	Signalized Intersection Safety Improve	125,000
Maryland @ Edgerton Channelization	200,000	Downtown Traffic Signal Enhancements	500,000	Snelling Ave @ Hoyt - Traffic Signal	37,500	SPS Traffic Signals on Arterials	450,000	RR Crossing Safety Improvements	40,000
Phalen Blvd @ Mississippi - Traffic Signal	125,000	SPS Traffic Signals on Arterials	600,000	Snelling Ave @ Midway - Traffic Signal	75,000	Signalized Intersection Safety Improve	125,000	MSA Contingency	240,000
Smith Avenue Traffic Signals	453,000	Signalized Intersection Safety Improve	125,000	Downtown Traffic Signal Enhancements	750,000	RR Crossing Safety Improvements	40,000		
SPS Traffic Signals on Arterials	675,000	RR Crossing Safety Improvements	40,000	SPS Traffic Signals on Arterials	150,000	MSA Contingency	240,000		
Signalized Intersection Safety Improve RR Crossing Safety Improvements	125,000 40,000	MSA Contingency	300,000	Signalized Intersection Safety Improve RR Crossing Safety Improvements	125,000 40,000				
MSA Contingency	40,000 367,000			MSA Contingency	250,000				
TOTAL MSA	\$8,400,000	TOTAL MSA	\$8,100,000	TOTAL MSA	\$8,100,000	TOTAL MSA	\$8,750,000	TOTAL MSA	\$8,900,000
Local Street, Alley, Sewer and Lighting	150,000	Local Street, Alley, Sewer and Lighting	150,000	Local Street, Alley, Sewer and Lighting	150,000	Local Street, Alley, Sewer and Lighting	150,000	Local Street, Alley, Sewer and Lighting	150,000
(Funded by Assessment financing)		(Funded by Assessment financing)		(Funded by Assessment financing)		(Funded by Assessment financing)		(Funded by Assessment financing)	
ROW (FUND 255)		ROW (FUND 255)		ROW (FUND 255)		ROW (FUND 255)		ROW (FUND 255)	
Sidewalk Reconstruction Program (ROW) (Pending ROW Fund Decision)	1,749,000	Sidewalk Reconstruction Program (ROW)	?	Sidewalk Reconstruction Program (ROW)	?	Sidewalk Reconstruction Program (ROW)	?	Sidewalk Reconstruction Program (ROW)	?
	_		_		_		_		_

# Subject to change. Updated 03/17/2017.

# 2017 PROJECTS

# **2018 PROJECTS**

CITY MILL & OVERLAY PROJECTS Kellogg Blvd - John Ireland to W. 7th Stillwater Road - Hazel to McKnight Third Street - White Bear to McKnight Johnson Parkway - Phalen to E. Shore Dr Ramsey Street - Summit to Grand Grand Avenue - Cretin to Cleveland Smith Avenue - W. 7th to Kellogg Selby Avenue - Western to Summit Highland Pky - Snelling to Hamline (Funded from Capital Project) Pedestrian Ramps with Overlays	\$2,307,925 186,600 300,000 348,300 160,300 98,175 106,875 186,300 193,875 224,575 727,500	<b>CITY MILL &amp; OVERLAY PROJECTS</b> Franklin Avenue - TH280 to Pelham Arlington Avenue - Rice to Jackson Arlington Avenue - E. Shore to White Bear Western Avenue - Como to Front Stryker Avenue - Baker to Annapolis Forest Street - Maryland to 7th Victoria Street - Summit to Saint Clair Pedestrian Ramps with Overlays	\$2,380,515 195,000 155,100 407,655 140,370 162,840 312,345 132,705 874,500	<b>CITY MILL &amp; OVERLAY PROJECTS</b> Saint Clair Avenue - Fairview to Snelling Grand Avenue - Dale to Pleasant Prior Avenue - Marshall to I-94 Prior Avenue - University to Minnehaha Miss River Blvd - Marshall to Randolph Jackson Street - University to Penn Sibley Street - 4th to 7th 10th Street - Robert to Wacouta Pedestrian Ramps with Overlays	\$2,392,507 161,085 287,175 78,195 125,460 473,520 240,000 58,667 107,205 861,200	CITY MILL & OVERLAY PROJECTS Western Avenue - Selby to Summit Territorial Avenue - Berry to Raymond Saint Paul Avenue - Edgcumbe to 7th Eustis Street - TH280 to Como Cleveland Avenue - Itasca to MRB Earl Street - Hudson to Ross Hamline Avenue - Randolph to Highland Annapolis Street - Bidwell to Robert Pedestrian Ramps with Overlays
(M & O Program - Pending ROW Fund Decisi RAMSEY COUNTY MILL & OVERLAYS Cleveland Ave - St. Paul Av to Ford Pkwy Como Avenue - Dale to Marion Ford Pkwy - Miss River Bridge to Howell Marshall Ave - Miss Riv Bridge to Snelling Maryland Ave - Greenbrier to Johnson Pk Minnehaha - Pierce Butler to Como Pierce Butler - Snelling to Grotto White Bear Ave - Reany to Ames	on)	RAMSEY COUNTY MILL & OVERLAYS Como - Snelling to Lexington Lexington - Randolph to University McKnight - TH 5 to N of Larp Plato - W Water to Fillmore Prosperity - Arlington to Larpenteur		RAMSEY COUNTY MILL & OVERLAYS Edgerton (Payne) - 7th to Edgerton Energy Park - TH 280 to RR tracks Larpenteur - White Bear to TH 120 Selby - Lexington to Dale St. Paul Avenue - Edgcumbe to Cleveland White Bear Avenue - Upper Afton to I-94		RAMSEY COUNTY MILL & OVERLAYS Carver Ave - TH 61 to McKnight Jackson St - 500' S of Arlington to Larp
Pelham Bikeway Improvements Snelling Medians - Ford Pkwy to Randolph MnDOT PROJECTS (with City participation	carryover	MnDOT PROJECTS (with City participation	n)	MnDOT PROJECTS (with City participation)	)	MnDOT PROJECTS (with City participation)
Snelling Medians - Ford Pkwy to Randolph		Railroad Crossing at EPD (MSAS 157) Smith M&O and High Bridge				Robert M&O/Signals
RAMSEY COUNTY PROJECTS (with City pa Warner Bridge Rehab over Childs Road	articipation)	RAMSEY COUNTY PROJECTS (with City p Cleveland Avenue - Hoyt to Larpenteur Rice St – Maryland to BNSF RR Bridge Reco Dale St – Iglehart to University Interchange R	nstruction	RAMSEY COUNTY PROJECTS (with City pa Cleveland - Buford to Hoyt Maryland/Edgerton	articipation)	RAMSEY COUNTY PROJECTS (with City par Cleveland - Como to Buford Jackson St Bridge Reconstruction Jackson - Maryland to Arlington Jackson- Pennsylvania to Acker
FEDERAL FUNDING Jackson Street - 11th to University	<b>\$2,637,888</b> 2,637,888	FEDERAL FUNDING Margaret Street Bike Boulevard Grand-Hamline to Victoria Ped Safety Kellogg/3rd Street Bridge	<b>\$8,883,349</b> 1,215,549 667,800 7,000,000	FEDERAL FUNDING Downtown Signal Enhancements Summit Avenue Bridge Reconstruction	<b>\$3,942,800</b> 2,222,800 1,720,000	FEDERAL FUNDING Expo Area - Safe Routes to School Washington Tech - Safe Routes to School Como Avenue Trail - Raymond to Hamline Johnson Parkway - Burns to 7th (Const) Tedesco Ave - University to Payne
SEWER UTILITY PROJECTS Ashland Central Sewer Lining Project 2017 Misc Sewer Rehab Project Phalen Creek Storm Inter Rehab Phase 2 Ford Parkway Access Shaft @ Mt Curve Sibley Lift Station Rehab Sewer Repairs on City & County Projects 2017 Citywide Sewer Repairs Project Pond & Ditch Cleaning & Repairs Engineering for Lift Station Rehab Projects	<b>\$9,750,000</b> 1,800,000 4,000,000 600,000 400,000 800,000 400,000 850,000 200,000	SEWER UTILITY PROJECTS 2018 Sewer Lining Project 2018 Misc Sewer Rehab Project Phalen Creek Storm Inter Rehab Phase 3 Broadway Lift Station Rehab Project Riverview Lift Station Rehab Sewer Repairs on City & County Projects 2018 Citywide Sewer Repairs Engineering for SW Facility Rehab Project	<b>\$10,450,000</b> 1,800,000 4,000,000 1,300,000 1,000,000 900,000 600,000 150,000	SEWER UTILITY PROJECTS 2019 Sewer Lining Project 2019 Misc Sewer Rehab Project Large Diameter Brick Sewer Rehab Kittsondale Storm Tunnel Phase I Sanitary Tunnel Rehab Lift Station Rehab (Como-Eustis & Red Rk) Sewer Repairs for City & County Projects SW Facility Rehab Project	\$12,100,000 1,800,000 2,000,000 3,500,000 500,000 1,300,000 1,100,000 1,200,000	SEWER UTILITY PROJECTS 2020 Sewer Lining Project 2020 Brick MH Rehab Project Large Diameter Brick Sewer Rehab Kittsondale Storm Tunnel Phase 2 Sanitary Tunnel Rehab Lift Station Rehab Sewer Repairs for City & County Projects 2020 Citywide Sewer Repairs Engineering for SW Facility Rehab Project

2019 PROJECTS

<b>2,508,565</b> 144,750 134,250 522,750 265,710 591,105 850,000
144,750 134,250 522,750 265,710 591,105
144,750 134,250 522,750 265,710 591,105
134,250 522,750 265,710 591,105
522,750 265,710 591,105
265,710 591,105
591,105
850,000
ation)
7,780,000
780,000
7,000,000
2,100,000
1,800,000
700,000
2,000,000
3 500 000
3,500,000
500,000
500,000 1,300,000
500,000 1,300,000 1,100,000
500,000 1,300,000

**2020 PROJECT**